

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/443,793	11/18/1999	DAVID E. ALBRECHT	505-02	7726
7:	590 06/07/2004		EXAM	INER
WILLIAM H. EILBERG ,ESQ.			PICKARD, ALISON K	
THREE BALA	PLAZA			
SUITE 501 WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BALA CYNW	YD, PA 19004		3676	

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TOL-326 (R		ion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040528
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received ity documents have be (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National Stage
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
9) 10)	ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected Irawing(s) be held in abe on is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ring(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
7)	, — — — , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
_	ion of Claims Claim(s) <u>28-35</u> is/are pending in the applicatior	l .	
Dia=!!	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x paπe Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
3)□	, in the second		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE	filed 2-24-04.	
Status			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, many within the statutory minimum of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Period to	or Reply		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Alison K. Pickard	3676
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		09/443,793	ALBRECHT, DAVID E.
		Application No.	Applicant(s)

Application/Control Number: 09/443,793

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 28-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith in view of Jones (2,278,721).

Smith discloses a one-piece plate 31 having an interior opening and a boundary. A one-piece seal 36 is disposed within the boundary. A support ring 22 is disposed within the seal. The seal is a flexible O-ring. The ring 22 is metal and is non-threaded. The ring has an outer boundary with two chamfers 24. A fluid component abuts the plate. The plate also has a pair of surfaces, which are parallel to each other (i.e. the surfaces parallel to the flange surfaces of 13). Smith does not disclose that the support ring has at least one orifice providing fluid connection between the opening and the seal. Jones teaches a seal between two port faces. The seal comprises a support ring 38 disposed within a seal 46. Jones teaches using an orifice 39 to provide a fluid connection between the opening and the seal to ensure a fluid tight seal. The orifice allows fluid pressure to press the seal upward, outward, and downward into fluid sealing abutment with the surfaces of the joint (see page 2, line 73 through page 3, line 10). (Note: the seal of Jones is oriented between two surfaces similar to those of Smith. The orifices of Jones are arranged generally parallel to these surfaces and would be arranged parallel to the surfaces of Smith.) Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 3676

invention was made to modify the support ring of Smith with the orifices taught by Jones so that fluid pressure within the opening is communicated to the seal to force it into fluid tight sealing engagement and prevent leakage through the joint.

Regarding claims 31 and 35, Smith discloses two chamfers 24 at an angel with the axis of the support ring. However, Smith does not disclose that the angle is about 45 degrees. This is considered a design choice. It is not considered inventive to discover the workable or optimum ranges by routine experimentation. See In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the chamfer at an angle of 45 degrees as a matter of choice in design.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 2-24-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Ring 31 of Smith is a one-piece plate. Applicant's claims are considered "open" claims (due to the use of "comprising") and therefore, the references can contain more than what is claimed. Therefore, the argument that Smith has locator 41 is moot.

Regarding claims 29 and 33, the o-ring of Smith is a flexible o-ring.

Regarding claims 31 and 35, as seen above, the allowability of these claims has been withdrawn. Upon further consideration, it is determined that the use of a 45 degree angle is a design choice as Applicant's have not stated that this angle is for any particular use or solves any stated problem. The examiner regrets any inconvenience this may cause.

Art Unit: 3676

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alison K. Pickard whose telephone number is 703-305-0882. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30), with alternate Friday's off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-freè).

Alison K. Pickard Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676